INTRODUCTION

This chapter introduces an ontological approach to coaching. The theoretical basis, premises and methodology of ontological coaching are outlined and examples that demonstrate the applicability of the ontological methodology to organizational coaching and life coaching are provided. Ontological coaching is also contrasted with other approaches to coaching and an evaluation made of its strengths, benefits and weaknesses.

Ontology is the study of being, in particular the investigation of the nature of human existence (Honderich, 1995). There are four interrelated components of the theoretical basis of ontological coaching. Heidegger’s phenomenological analysis of being (Heidegger, 1962, 1971, 1999), supported by Gadamer’s approach to hermeneutics (Gadamer, 1994), forms a major philosophical cornerstone of ontological coaching. Maturana’s biology of cognition (Maturana and Varela, 1980, 1987) comprises the second part of ontological coaching’s theoretical basis, with the work of Wittgenstein (1958), Searle (1969, 1979) and Austin (1973) in the philosophy of language being the third component. The fourth component is provided by philosophical investigations of the body, in particular the writings of Merleau-Ponty (1962) and Dewey (1929).

Flores integrated of the ideas of Heidegger, Gadamer, Maturana and Searle (Winograd and Flores, 1986) to form an initial body of knowledge, now known as Ontology of the Human Observer (Sieler, 2003).
THE THEORETICAL BASIS OF ONTOLOGICAL COACHING

From his empirical research on the neurophysiology of vision Maturana (Maturana et al., 1958, 1968; Lettvin et al., 1968) demonstrated that the structure and activity of the nervous system, not events in the world, is the critical factor in the formation of reality, a phenomenon he named structural determinism. Maturana’s research conclusion is aligned with Heidegger’s argument, that humans are interpretive beings, not simply rational beings that operate in an objective world, demonstrated in his presentation of listening as already listening, which is the pre-understanding or existing frames of meaning that people bring to the situations they encounter.

Maturana and Heidegger each emphasized the self-referential nature of being. Maturana identified autopoiesis (self-production) to be the fundamental mechanism of living systems, as they continually ensure the maintenance of their self-producing capacity. Heidegger contends that humans are always oriented to the world by what they care about, engaging in ‘concernful activity’ to address what matters for them, i.e. their concerns.

Heidegger and Maturana also emphasized the interactive nature of human existence. For Heidegger the essence of being is being-in-the-world (Dasein), being immersed in the activity of everyday living, learning how to adjust to and skilfully cope with the requirements of life in our dealings with others and technology. Maturana claims that cognition is primarily an interactive phenomenon. Because of the plasticity (changeability) of the nervous system, biological entities continually perturb or influence the structure of one another’s nervous systems, which Maturana refers to as structural coupling. Such change is not a direct cause-and-effect phenomenon because the structure of the nervous system modulates the effects of interactions.

Along with philosophers of language, Heidegger and Maturana highlighted the centrality of language in the formation of reality. Maturana used the expression ‘languaging’ to highlight language as a process that ‘brings forth a world’ and for Heidegger ‘language is the house of being’. Following work by Wittgenstein and Austin that demonstrated that (i) language is a fundamental form of human activity and (ii) words create effects in the world (Wittgenstein, 1958; Austin, 1973), in the theory of speech acts Searle identified specific ways that language generates reality (Searle, 1969, 1979).

Maturana and Heidegger also recognized the emotional and somatic dimensions of everyday living. Maturana regarded conversation as the basic unit of human interaction, consisting of the ‘braiding’ of language and emotions, involving dynamic body postures (Maturana, 1988: 48–9). Heidegger claimed that we comport ourselves towards the world, with moods being indicative of our orientation to life and what we care about. We are always in a mood, with a continual challenge to be the master of our moods (Heidegger, 1962).

Nietzsche captured the pivotal role of the body when he wrote, ‘Our most sacred convictions are … judgements of our muscles’ (Nietzsche, 1968: 173). Merleau-Ponty’s in-depth analysis of the body, positioning perception as a somatic phenomenon (Merleau-Ponty, 1962), as well as the philosophy of Dewey (1929), gave philosophical substance to Nietzsche’s expression.
Within the theory that underpins Ontological Coaching at least five premises can be identified.

1. Humans exist in three interrelated domains of language, emotions and body; what takes place in people’s lives occurs in these domains.
2. The dynamic interplay between the three existential domains of language, emotions and body shapes perception and behaviour, and can be equated to the structure of the nervous system.
3. Humans are self-referencing beings: how the world is viewed and engaged with is always relative to what is important or deeply matters in the world of the individual, i.e. his or her concerns.
4. Humans are relational and conversational beings interacting with the world from their existential domains to take care of concerns.
5. Change occurs through the domains of language, emotions and body being perturbed to generate new meaning.

**GOALS AND TASKS OF ONTOLOGICAL COACHING**

In ontological coaching the dynamic interplay between language, emotions and body is referred to as the *Way of Being*. The essential goal of the coach is to be a catalyst for change by respectfully and constructively triggering a shift in the coachee’s way of being to enable him or her to develop perceptions and behaviours that were previously unavailable, all of which are consistent with what the coachee wants to gain from coaching.

A primary responsibility of an ontological coach is to manage his or her own way of being in the coaching conversation. The only place he or she can coach from is their own way of being, which will affect how (i) acutely they observe the specifics of the coachee’s way of being and (ii) they facilitate potential shifts in the coachee’s way of being. For example prior to a coaching appointment with a senior executive in a major finance corporation, a coach recognized feeling intimidated by the coachee, being anxious and having negative thoughts about being equal to the task of coaching someone so senior in the organization. He could not shift feeling intimidated merely by changing his thoughts, so focused on his body and breathing, adopting a posture and breathing pattern from which he felt competent and confident, practising this as he walked to the appointment and maintaining it during the conversation.

A critical task for the coach is to create a safe environment for inquiry, learning and discovery through a deeply respectful professional relationship with the coachee. This means regarding the coachee as a *legitimate other*. Two challenges in maintaining a safe environment are: (i) respectfully initiating a different and potentially sensitive direction in the conversation; and (ii) the coach not being too attached to his or her ideas of where the conversation ‘should go’.

For example a coachee identified improving self-confidence as the key coaching issue. The coach assessed that the coachee had a negative view of herself (referred to as a core negative self-assessment in ontological coaching) that she was not aware of. The coach said, ‘Sometimes we get in our own way by having one or more negative opinions about ourselves without knowing this. I’m wondering if you would like to explore whether you do have a negative view of yourself which is holding you back?’
The main benefit of ontological coaching is enabling the coachee to observe and shift aspects of their own way of being beyond the coaching and therefore enhance their behavioural flexibility for future challenges. For example supporting the coachee to confidently and constructively express her thoughts in business meetings, the coach asked three questions when exploring her future action.

How will you cue yourself to notice what is happening in your thinking, emotions and posture during the meeting? How exactly will you make the necessary adjustments that will allow you to say what you want to – will it commence with your breathing and posture or with different thoughts? How will you take the posture, breathing, moods and thoughts to other areas of your life?

THE PROCESSES AND FEATURES OF ONTOLOGICAL COACHING

The process of engagement between the ontological coach and coachee revolves around (i) shared understanding of the issue(s) the coachee brings to the conversation and desired coaching outcomes and (ii) the coach’s interpretation of the coachee’s way of being that underpins his or her issue(s).

Clarifying issues and outcomes is central to the contracting arrangement between coach and coachee. In organizational coaching the coachee’s manager may also be part of the contracting and evaluation process, as illustrated in the following example. After a conversation with Stella and her line manager, the following coaching outcomes were identified.

1. First and foremost, greater self-confidence and an enhanced sense of self-worth
2. To be more able to deal with, and express, how she is feeling, rather than keep it inside and get to a breaking point
3. To not to take things personally, which is associated with becoming more resilient
4. To have a more strategic mindset, and not be as operationally oriented – to be able to stand back and observe the bigger picture
5. To express her point of view clearly and firmly in meetings, including having another perspective on senior people present at the meeting
6. To delegate and not be caught up in completing the details of various tasks – to know the deliverables required, where they fit in the larger scheme of things, and to delegate to others in the team
7. Through delegation to gain more from the variety of specialized expertise within her team.

The coach also ensured there was a shared understanding with Stella’s manager about observable changes in Stella’s behaviour that would be evidence of the fulfilment of the coaching outcomes. These were:

- ‘doing less doing’, with her team doing much of the detailed work
- asking the appropriate questions – ones that indicated a strategic focus, such as ‘How does this relate to the business objectives?’
- being prepared to express well-thought-out ‘push-back’ or alternative opinions in meetings, especially where more senior personnel were present
- by coping effectively with setbacks, rather than getting down or despondent and dwelling on what ‘went wrong’, she would move forward to different issues.
After three 90-minute conversations Stella declared she had gained what she wanted from the coaching, rating her self as 8–9 out of 10 on the issues she presented for coaching, compared with 2–3 at the commencement of coaching. Her manager agreed with Stella’s assessments stating, ‘The coaching has been a very worthwhile investment’.

An important part of the process of ontological coaching is how the coach uses his or her interpretations of the coachee’s way of being. Decisions are continually being made about what interpretations are likely to be most relevant and beneficial for the coachee. Part of working respectfully with the coachee consists of a combination of:

- asking questions to affirm if the interpretations are relevant; for example ‘What would you say is your mood about this situation? Would it be fair to say that this is a negative mood?’
- presenting distinctions for the coachee to consider; for example ‘My guess is that you are experiencing a mood of resentment about this situation. Can I explain what I mean and see if you agree?’

An indispensable part of this process is the coach being open and flexible. Sometimes the conversation may move in a certain direction but not proceed far because it does not ‘connect’ with the coachee, or he or she does not give permission to proceed further. This is why it is essential that the coach is not attached to his or her interpretations and provides the emotional context for the coachee to decline to respond to the coach’s initiative. Ontological distinctions are only useful if they ‘hit the mark’ and speak to something significant for the coachee, providing a new insight or perspective.

The main features of the methodology of ontological coaching will now be outlined, with coaching examples in each of the three domains of language, emotions and body provided to illustrate the application of the methodology.

**Language**

One of the premises of ontological coaching is that language generates reality. The coach listens for how the coachee is explicitly and implicitly using language, as well as how he or she is not using language. An ontological coach is specifically listening for the following aspects of language: underlying concerns; basic linguistic acts (renaming of speech acts), including core assessments; types of conversations; and cultural–historical narratives.

Underlying concerns and core assessments are the hidden sides of coachees’ language, that is, what exists in their listening that is not being articulated. Every issue for coachees is underpinned by something that matters to them – a concern. Heidegger emphasized that although we are always taking care of concerns, we rarely articulate what our concerns are. It can be especially revealing for coachees to understand what is behind their issue. Some specific questions can be helpful to assist them:

- What is at stake for you here?
- What is missing that is important for you?
- What is not being taken care of that matters to you?
The effect of such questions could be illustrated with an example of working with Helen. Her specialty in a pharmaceutical company is sales management; however, eight months prior she was transferred to an unfamiliar marketing management role, and provided with little support to learn the skills and knowledge for her new role. She seemed good-natured about the experience, saying that was part of corporate life, yet the coach assessed she had been putting on a ‘happy face’ to herself and the company. Asking how she felt about the way she had been treated by the company revealed that she was upset, as tears welled up. Exploration of underlying concerns through the question ‘What wasn’t taken care of that deeply mattered to you?’ resulted in Helen recognizing that her dignity as a person had not been respected. The theme of taking care of her dignity was primarily explored through the domain of moods and emotions and is outlined in the next section.

The utilization of basic linguistic acts, derived from Searle’s set of speech acts (Searle, 1969) is a major feature of the coaching methodology, these being: assertions, declarations, assessments (a subset of declarations), requests, offers and promises. An example illustrates the utilization of some of these acts in coaching conversations.

Jake’s work team in the oil industry was consistently well short of meeting its weekly targets for the production of different lubricant products, resulting in delays in customer deliveries. Coaching with Jake and the planner who issued the weekly schedule enabled them to see that the weekly schedule was a request and that by not discussing the schedule Jake implicitly accepted it and was making a promise or commitment to deliver the weekly target. As a result of the coaching Jake and the planner met every week to discuss the forthcoming schedule to ensure explicit agreement about the weekly target, which is a particular type of conversation called ‘conversation for the coordination of action’.

Core assessments are the fundamental beliefs and values people have about themselves, others, their situations in life and the world in general. They are central to how humans function as linguistic beings, providing an ‘internal reality’ from which the world is observed. A negative core assessment acts like a prison, closing off possibilities and restricting participation in different aspects of life. While these assessments do not ‘announce themselves’ the coach can discern their likely presence.

**Moods and emotions**

Moods are subtle, enduring and pervasive emotions, continually influencing perception and behaviour. Ontological coaching utilizes a framework entitled ‘Some Basic Moods of Life’ (Sieler, 2007), which provides interpretive structures of eight moods. These interpretative structures consist of four components:

(i) how the mood can be created
(ii) the typical linguistic or narrative structure
(iii) the behavioural predispositions
(iv) the likely postural configuration that reflects the embodiment of the mood.
The coach listens for the language of moods as well as observing their somatic manifestation in the coachee’s postural configuration.

Returning to the coaching example with Helen in the pharmaceutical company, the coach noted that in the realization that her dignity had not been taken care of, Helen had mentioned that the situation was not fair, given her hard work and success in the sales role. An assessment of not being treated fairly is part of the language of the mood of resentment, which is often subtle yet persistent and pervasive anger. Helen was not comfortable with saying she was angry but she could see how she continually had this feeling of being ‘put upon’ that had not gone away, that she was resentful and her enthusiasm and energy for her work had been dampened.

In the previous example of coaching Stella on the key issue of lack of confidence, she agreed that she lived with a mood of anxiety, which is persistent background fear of things going badly and of not being able to deal with them. The behavioural manifestation of anxiety is consistently being alert for threats and engaging in protective behaviour to avoid the harm that accompanies perceived threats. For Stella, a consistent fear and threat was that others were making negative judgments about her work; consequently she worked long hours at the office and at home to ‘cover all bases’ in order to ensure she had anticipated and prepared a response to potential criticism.

**The domain of the body**

The importance of the somatic domain is that it is where the embodiment of change takes place. One procedure that can be highly effective in taking care of concerns and in diminishing the restrictive effects of core negative assessments is the use of the linguistic act of **declaring**, in which specific words are spoken from a body posture and with a voice tonality (indicative of emotional state) that are congruent with the words.

This could be illustrated with an example of coaching Stephen, a highly regarded manager who had been offered the role of Chief Operating Officer in a fast-growing telecommunications company. Despite his excellent record as a manager, Stephen lived from the core negative self-assessments of ‘I’m not good enough’ and ‘I’m not worthy’. After he realized there was no substance to these assessments he was invited to stand and make a declaration. An important part of this procedure is for the coach to (i) suggest and negotiate an appropriate brief statement that will be spoken and (ii) ask coachees to notice how they speak, inviting them to self-assess whether there is congruence between their words, voice tonality and how they are holding their body.

The coach then invites coachees to self-adjust to be more congruent, after which the coach seeks permission to make suggestions. Stephen and the coach worked with the declaration ‘I am a legitimate, worthy and competent person, and I am a learner’. Stephen spoke his declaration nine times and despite his strong scepticism about the value of this process was surprised about the noticeably positive difference he felt about himself, which he described as being more solid and assured. He subsequently accepted the role of Chief Operating Officer.
There are three important issues for ontological coaches to continually keep in mind if they are to maintain a constructive relationship with the coachee:

1. *An emotional orientation of humility, and not arrogance.* The coach becomes arrogant by being too attached to his or her own ideas, forgetting that these ideas are interpretations, and falls into the trap of ‘knowing’ the best direction for the conversation. The coach is always a learner, privileged by the coachee’s willingness to be coached and has the responsibility to be at his or her resourceful best, being flexible in how to use his or her expertise for the benefit of the coachee.

2. *Recognizing that the coach does not directly cause change but is a catalyst for change.* As was presented at the beginning of the chapter, a basic principle of the biology of cognition is that change primarily occurs from within the nervous system, as a result of the system being perturbed by an external agent. All a coach can do is perturb the coachee’s nervous system and be a trigger for activating a more resourceful way of being. The artistry of ontological coaching is to know how and when to constructively perturb the coachee’s way of being.

3. *The courage to be ‘respectfully firm’.* There may be occasions when coachees appear to avoid an aspect of their way of being that the coach considers important. In extreme cases, coachees may take over the conversation. It is essential that the coach respectfully maintains his/her authority and is not put ‘off balance’ by the coachees’ behaviour. The ontological coach needs to respectfully share his/her observation/interpretation of the coachees’ behaviour, seek his/her response and inquire if there is permission to explore the issue not being addressed.

**ONTHELOGICAL COACHING COMPARED WITH OTHER COACHING GENRES**

Ontological coaching differs from other coaching traditions in three main ways:

- explicit focus on way of being
- equal importance of the three existential domains of language, emotions and body and their dynamic interrelationship in change
- explicit application of unique concepts and models, such as basic linguistic acts, concerns, identification of specific moods and the narrative and somatic structures of each mood, and the significance of working with postural shifts as the means to the embodiment of a different way of being.

Unlike many other theoretical approaches to coaching covered in this book, ontological coaching does not have a psychological basis. An ontological approach to coaching is grounded in philosophy (as is existential coaching) and the biology of cognition. Unlike psychologically oriented coaching traditions (for example psychodynamic and cognitive-behavioural approaches), ontological coaching is not based on the concept of mind but on the concept of being or, more explicitly, way of being.

Ontological coaching goes beyond Descartes’s conception of being human as ‘I think, therefore I am’. From an ontological perspective, human cognition is more than thinking. To consider humans only as thinking beings runs the risk of focusing on the domain of language and not explicitly attending to the equally important domains of emotions and body in the facilitation of learning and change. Although other coaching traditions are attentive to the importance of emotions (for example psychodynamic and cognitive-behavioural approaches, Gestalt, NLP,
positive psychology) and the body (Gestalt, NLP), ontological coaching’s methodology is unique in the explicit integration of language, emotions and body.

While all other coaching traditions work in the domain of language, ontological coaching is differentiated from other traditions by (i) the explicit premise that language generates reality and (ii) the unique techniques that operationalize this premise. The main linguistic techniques of ontological coaching are the:

- application of a unique approach to listening as a critical part of language and its central role in generating reality
- utilization of the model basic linguistic acts to facilitate shifts in speaking and listening
- application of a typology of conversations
- moving beyond the subtle, yet powerful, negative affects of cultural–historical narratives.

While ontological coaches are not body therapists, their focus on the importance of shifts in postural habits and related muscular tension distinguishes ontological coaching from most other approaches to coaching. This is because change occurs in the nervous system and the role of the body in this process cannot be ignored. Somatic shifts are essential to consolidate shifts in the linguistic and emotional domains, and indispensable for sustainable change.

THE APPLICABILITY OF ONTOLOGICAL COACHING

Ontological coaching is applicable to the entire range of coaching contexts and genres covered in Section 2. In reflecting on the coaching engagements with Stella, Helen, Jake and Stephen it can be seen that the genres of skills and performance coaching, leadership coaching and developmental coaching were covered. The relevance of ontological coaching to the manager as coach, executive coaching and cross-cultural coaching is evident in the following examples.

Executive coaching

Working relationships between senior executives and members of the board are critical for the company’s future. Sometimes decisions can leave a residue of negativity that persists and compromises relationships, with important business conversations not happening.

As Managing Director of a medium-sized, family-owned bus building company employing 200 people, Giovanni had experienced a significant breakdown with a decision by the Board of Management. They had overruled Giovanni and brought in consultants to look at the company’s manufacturing process. Although the consultants had completed their work, and the board’s decision had been made more than eight months prior to the coaching conversation, Giovanni said that the decision ‘still sticks in my gut’. Giovanni agreed with the coach’s interpretation that he was still in a mood of resentment with the board. He did not want to leave
the company, yet continually felt negative towards board members, which was ‘not helping me or the company’.

Part of the structure of resentment is continuing to fight against, and not accept, what has happened and cannot be changed. Giovanni agreed this was exactly what he had been doing. He said, ‘I was professionally slighted by that decision – it was as if I didn’t know and all my experience counted for nothing. My thinking has been continually preoccupied with what was done and how I disagreed with it’. Through the conversation he began to more fully appreciate the damaging consequences of his mood and stated, ‘It really is time I put this behind me’. The coach worked with Giovanni to facilitate small yet important shifts in his posture to ensure that he no longer held remnants of resentment. This allowed him, through requests, to initiate conversations with members of the board, including the future chair, that had not been happening. In a follow-up coaching session, Giovanni reported on a marked improvement in the quality of his conversations with the future chair, and how much more relaxed and better he felt within himself.

**Cross-cultural coaching**

The increasingly globalized world of business presents new challenges to ensure effective communication between personnel with diverse cultural backgrounds across different locations. Different cultures reflect different ways of being. Each culture provides a frame of meaning and associated assumptions about how to understand and behave in different situations. Business performance and productivity is built on people working together effectively (coordinating action) reach objectives, which is underpinned by shared meaning. Ensuring there is shared meaning in business communication can make a significant difference to the operation of a team.

Susan leads a risk team in a major bank, with the members of her team being in Australia and India. Her communication with the members of the team in India is via email and telephone, the latter including phone conferences. Susan was frustrated that her colleagues in India seemed to agree about tasks to be done but did not complete them; i.e. they had made promises (commitments) that they did not keep, engendering lack of trust.

Working from the ontological perspective that listening is meaning and is the crucial factor in communication, the coach explored the general hypothesis that Susan had assumed a shared understanding that did not exist between herself and team members in India. Cultures can be viewed as forms of deep listening, consisting of core assessments, social norms and practices, and cultural narratives that subtly inform members of a culture how to perceive and respond to situations. Within the general hypothesis the coach tested the relevance of two specific hypotheses to the communication breakdown Susan was dealing with. The first hypothesis was that ‘saving face’ is a significant part of Asian cultures that can result in the cultural practice of saying ‘yes’ when the listener does not understand. The second hypothesis was related to the influence of gender relationships, specifically males regarding themselves as being superior to
females, which in Susan’s situation may be the male members of her team in India not accepting her authority as manager and therefore not accepting her ideas, suggestions and requests.

Susan’s acceptance of the possible relevance of the above two cultural influences, which included not being resentful of their existence, was the basis for the inclusion of a communication strategy in phone conversations with her colleagues in India. The strategy was to ensure explicit shared understanding of tasks to be completed and the availability of relevant resources in a manner that was not offensive. Conversationally, the implementation of the strategy was as follows.

Thank you for our discussion. I’d like you to help me out here if you could – I’m not sure if I have done a very good job making clear what I’d like done. Could you please tell me your understanding of what you will be doing from our discussion and don’t worry if it is not accurate because it was probably me not being clear enough in the first place.

This was followed by ensuring there was explicit shared understanding of the availability of relevant resources for the tasks to be completed. The coach emphasized the importance of being genuine in her requests, reflected in her voice tonality, which was indicative of her emotions and body posture being congruent with her words.

EVALUATION

While ontological coaching has the capacity to provide significant value across all genres and contexts for coaching, it is important to be mindful of some key considerations that, if not attended to, can act as constraints and limitations of this coaching methodology.

- Some coachees may be reluctant to explore the domains of emotions and body. The essential task of the ontological coach is to respect the boundaries of the coachee and to work skilfully in the area of language to generate shifts in perspectives and behaviour, which may spontaneously produce emotional and somatic shifts.
- Ontological coaches are not psychotherapists or body therapists. The coach has a fundamental responsibility to know his/her own coaching boundaries and not go beyond these. This is a critical aspect of the coach managing his/her own way of being in the coaching conversation. Boundaries are defined by the coach recognizing his/her levels of comfort and knowing his/her competence.
- Technical proficiency in the coaching methodology is not sufficient. The coach always coaches from life experiences, which can provide an invaluable experiential ‘feel’ for the challenges the coachee is dealing with. For example coaching in the business world requires a sound general understanding of the nature of organizations, the nature of leadership and managerial responsibility, and daily life in the workplace.

With the above considerations in mind, a number of strengths of ontological coaching can be identified:

- The coaching methodology is based on substantive and coherent theory of human perception and behaviour that provides a viable alternative approach to psychology for facilitating sustainable behavioural change.
- Working in the three domains of language, emotions and body provides the coach with the flexibility of multiple areas of engagement. The coach can recognize when the opportunities for learning and change have become
exhausted in one domain and seek permission to focus on another domain. For example new insights and behav-

ioral shifts can open up for the coachee in the domains of moods and the body that are not possible while the 

conversation remains in the language domain.

- The role of the body in coaching ensures learning is embodied and consolidated.
- Ontological coaching offers a uniquely powerful way of intervening in organizational dynamics and culture. It 

provides an in-depth framework for positioning human interaction as a core business discipline and practice.

The general benefit of ontological coaching is that coachees experience shifts in their way of 

being, enabling them to become a different and more powerful observer of themselves, others 

and how they can constructively engage in the world. The coaching not only supports the 

coachee to deal with the problematic issues that were presented for coaching, but also positions 

them to be more resilient and adaptable for dealing with future problematic circumstances.

Ontological coaching is beneficial across a wide range of coaching contexts and genres 

because it deals with the fundamental ways people understand themselves, the world and what 

is possible for them in life. The coaching methodology facilitates the emergence of new per-

spectives as the basis for the development of expanded ways of thinking and increased behav-

ioral flexibility, all of which have become so essential in increasingly unpredictable and 

uncertain times.
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orientation of this book is the design of computer-based systems that facilitate human work and interaction, it provides 

a worthwhile introduction to the ideas of Heidegger, Maturana and Searle that comprise the theory behind ontological 

coaching. Flores and Winograd position ontology as a contribution to the emergence of a new intellectual paradigm that 

is new ground for rationality, which combines traditional rational thinking with intuitive–interpretive thinking.)
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